राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सङ्क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com





सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

23.05.2020

NHIDCL/J&K/DPR-3/2018 | 598

To,

M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. –RITES Ltd. (JV) Email: acquisition@geoconsult.co.in sayeed.anjum@geoconsult.co.in	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with ATC and in association with AIA and Devico AS Email: ec-4@tec-4.es, anandjiwalainfra@gmail.com
M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L. Email: vsehgal@eptisa.com	M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. –SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd. Email: sushil.Kumar@smec.com
M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engg. Consortium Pvt. Ltd. Email: jbundela@subterra-ing.com	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Email: maria.palacio@tpfingenieria.com

Subject: Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities for Construction of Highway Tunnel including approaches across Shinkun La, Tanglang La & Lachalung La in the Union Territory of Ladakh - 1st & 2nd Stage Evaluation Result -reg.

Your Bid dated 18.05.2020. Ref:

Sir,

Please refer to your proposal for the project cited above. In this regard first and second stage evaluation result is as under:

Sr.	Name of the Firm	Technical Score	Status of Eligibility
(i)	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. –RITES Ltd. (JV)	83.73	Eligible
(ii)	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with ATC and in association with AIA and Devico AS.	89.90	Eligible
	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.	82.93	Eligible
(iii)	M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. —SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.	80.05	Eligible
(iv) (v)	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engg. Consortium Pvt. Ltd.	81.51	Eligible
(vi)	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.	85.10	Eligible
(vii)	M/s ERKAAS and Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting-TUMAS India Pvt Ltd. (JV) in association with AVOK Engineering Consulting & Contracting Pvt. Ltd.	Non- Responsive	Not eligible

If you have any comments on the enclosed evaluation sheets, you are requested to offer your comments at the earliest and latest by 27.05.2020 upto 1500 hrs. Financial bids will be opened on 29.05.2020 at 1100 hrs.

General Manager (Tech.)

Enclosure: Technical Evaluation

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

Date: 22.05.2020 (1500 Hrs)

Venue: PTI Building, 3rd Floor, Conference Hall, NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

Subject: Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities for Construction of Highway Tunnel including approaches across Shinkun La, Tanglang La & Lachalung La in the Union Territory of Ladakh - Second Stage Evaluation.

The following seven firms submitted their bids online through INFRACON and CPP Portal for the subject project by due date and time.

SI. No.	Name of Firm								
1.	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. –RITES Ltd. (JV)								
2.	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with Anandjiwala Technical consultancy and in association with Anandjiwala								
۷.	Infra Advisory and Devico AS.								
3.	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.								
4.	M/s SMEC International Pty. LtdSMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.								
5.	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engineering Consortium Pvt. Ltd.								
6.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.								
7.	M/s ERKAAS and Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting-TUMAS India Pvt Ltd. (JV) in								
1.	association with AVOK Engineering Consulting & Contracting Pvt. Ltd.								

2. The Committee met on 21.05.2020 to finalize the 1st stage evaluation (MOM attached as **Annexure-I**). The following firms had qualified for Second Stage Evaluation i.e. Technical Evaluation:

SI. No.	Name of Firm
1.	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. –RITES Ltd. (JV)
2.	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with ATC and in association with AIA and Devico AS.
3.	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.
4.	M/s SMEC International Pty. LtdSMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.
5.	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engg. Consortium Pvt. Ltd.
6.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.

3. The second stage evaluation of the above mentioned qualified firms were carried out. The key points observed during second stage evaluation are summed up as follows:

Page 1 o

3.1 Relevant experience for assignments:-

The marks obtained by the firms towards relevant experience for assignments are enclosed as **Annexure-II** and marks obtained are given below:

Sr. No.	Name of the firm	Maximum Marks	Marks obtained
1.	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. LtdRITES Ltd. (JV)		30
2.	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with ATC and in association with AIA and Devico AS.	35	
3.	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.	40	28
4.	M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. –SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.	-10	26
5.	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engg. Consortium Pvt. Ltd.		29.5
6.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.		30

3.2 <u>Material Testing Survey and Investigation Equipment and Software proposed to be used:</u>

The marks obtained by the firms towards Material Testing Survey and Investigation Equipment and Software proposed for assignments are enclosed as **Annexure-III** and marks obtained are given below:

Sr. No.	Name of the firm	Maximum Marks	Marks obtained
1.	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. LtdRITES Ltd. (JV)		9.75
2.	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with ATC and in association with AIA and Devico AS.	10	
3.	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.	10	9.5
4.	M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. –SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.		9.75
5.	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engg. Consortium Pvt. Ltd.		8.75
6.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.		9.5

3.3 Qualifications and relevant experience of the proposed key personnel

The marks obtained by the key personnel of the firms towards Qualifications and Relevant experience of the proposed for assignments are enclosed as **Annexure-IV** and marks obtained are given below:

Agh

may

Mapy

Bulik

Page 2 of 5

			1		2	3 M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.		
Position	Total marks	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. – RITES Ltd. (JV).		and in associa	S.A. in JV with ATC ation with AIA and vico AS			
		Name	Name Marks Name Marks		Marks	Name	Marks	
Team Leader cum Sr. Tunnel expert	12	Lalit Kumar	10.92	Francisco Javier Castanedo	Javier 11.40		10.32	
Tunnel Design Expert	10	Florian Krenn	8.90	Diana Castanedo	7.40	Dr. Jorg Holzhuser	9.30	
Sr. Ventilation & Fire Safety Expert	8	Bernhard happerger	6.96	Francisco Antonio AVazques Buceta	7.60	Alexander Rudolf	7.36	
Sr. Geotechnical Expert	10	Ravindra Dutta	8.00	Fleix Maria Lasheras Albert	9.00	Sindu Kumar Bagati	9.00	
Directional Coring Expert	10	Subrata Kumar	9.20	Chris Wai Kay Tai	9.50	Arindom Ganguly	9.45	
Total	50		43.98		44.90		45.43	

			4		5	6			
Position	Total marks	inio oniao mionano il			ngineering India Pvt. iation with Space ortium Pvt. Ltd	M/s TPF Getinsa Eurostudios S.L.			
		Name	Marks	Name	Marks	Name	Marks		
Team Leader cum Sr. Tunnel expert	12	Ulrich Peter Rehm	11.16	Abolghasem Parpinchi			11.40		
Tunnel Design Expert	10	B R Sharma	8.70	Francisco Jose Gracia 9.00 Pro		Jose Gracia 9.00		Hamed Moammeri Otorabad	8.60
Sr. Ventilation & Fire Safety Expert	8	Rovert W Dunlop	7.44	Bhanoo P. Verma	7.60	Sunit Kanti Dhar	7.20		
Sr. Geotechnical Expert	10	Aurelio Arespacocha ga Arangena	8.00	Majid Sarrami	9.00	Joan Santamaria Casanovas	8.90		
Directional Coring Expert	10	Eirik Borg	9.00	Sheikh Abdul Ahad	6.50	Christian Alejandro Monardez Arancibia	9.50		
Total	50		44.30		43.26		45.60		

Agh

Mand

My

3 Alike

Page 3 of 5

5. The final technical scores (Annexure-V) were worked out as under:

	Summary o	f evaluation of T	echnical Propos	<u>als</u>		
S. No.		Relevant experience for assignments	Material Testing S&I Equipment and Software	Qualifications & competence of the key personnel	Total marks	Remarks
	Maximum Mark	40	10	50	100	
1	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. –RITES Ltd. (JV)	30	9.75	43.98	83.73	Eligible for opening of financial proposal
2	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with ATC and in association with AIA and Devico AS.	35	10	44.90	89.90	Eligible for opening of financial proposal
3	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.	28	9.5	45.43	82.93	Eligible for opening of financial proposal
4	M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. –SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.	26	9.75	44.30	80.05	Eligible for opening of financial proposal
5	M/s Subterra Engg. India Pvt. Ltd. in ass. with Space Engg. Consortium Pvt. Ltd.	29.5	8.75	43.26	81.51	Eligible for opening of financial proposal
6	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.	30	9.5	45.60	85.10	Eligible for opening of financial proposal

- 6. In view of the above, ETEC recommended that the following firms qualify for the Financial Bid Opening:
 - 1. M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. -RITES Ltd. (JV)
 - 2. M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with ATC and in association with AIA and Devico AS.
 - 3. M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.
 - 4. M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. -SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.
 - M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engg.
 - 5. Consortium Pvt. Ltd.
 - 6. M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.

Agh

mulub

Rlap

3 Mile

7. The ETEC, thus, recommended that

- i) The scores of the firms towards second stage evaluation as brought out in the para 5 above the list of the technically qualified bidders be made available on the website giving opportunity to the bidders to respond.
- ii) The Financial Bids may be opened subsequently with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Fixonu pivision has evakated only tumorer and related pourls.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

Sh. Mahesh Gupta DGM (Fin.) (Member) Sh. B. Shivprasad GM (Tech.) (Member Secretary)

Sh. A.K. Jha DGM (Tech.) (Member)

Sh. Sanjeev Malik Executive Director-III (Convener)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

Date: 21.05.2020 (1500 Hrs)

Venue: PTI Building, 3rd Floor, Conference Hall, NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

1st Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for "Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities for Construction of Highway Tunnel including approaches across Shinkun La, Tanglang La & Lachalung La in the Union Territory of Ladakh".

The following firms had submitted their bids on the CPP Portal by due date and time.

SI. No.	Name of Firm
1.	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. –RITES Ltd. (JV)
2.	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with Anandjiwala Technical consultancy and in association with Anandjiwala Infra Advisory and Devico AS.
3.	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.
4.	M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. –SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.
5.	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engineering Consortium Pvt. Ltd.
6.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.
7.	M/s ERKAAS and Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting-TUMAS India Pvt Ltd. (JV) in association with AVOK Engineering Consulting & Contracting Pvt. Ltd.

- 2. Having opened the Technical bids on 19.052020, the Committee (ETEC) met on 21.05.2020 to undertake the 1st Stage Evaluation in accordance with the provisions of RFP and noted the following:
- (i) As per para 1.4 of LOI the technical proposal were to be received through Infracon by making it mandatory for firms and personnel to register on the portal.
- (ii) The committee further noted that as per clause 1.4 of LOI, the consultant shall submits proposal either in sole capacity or in JV or in association. Also JV shall not have more than 2 firms.
- (iii) As per clause 2.2 the proposal shall be received through Infracon and the consultant should upload the proposal using the Infracon portal and procedure prescribed in it.
- (iv) It was informed by the technical division that being the consultancy services for preparation of Tunnel DPR the proposal submitted through INFRACON needs to be evaluated manually.

3. First Stage Evaluation:

3.1 The Committee noted the requirements of documents in support of proof of eligibility as per clause 3.1 of Letter of Invitation. The ETEC carried out further evaluation in terms of proof of eligibility and the detailed evaluation is attached at Annexure-I. The evaluation result is tabulated below:

Aufre

Page 1 of 3

	7.	6.	Ċ1	4.	ယ	2.	-	SI. No
	In case a Joint Venture/Association of firms, the proposal shall be accompanied by a certified copy of legally binding Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on a stamp paper of Rs. 100, signed by all firms to the joint venture/Association as detailed at para 1.8.2 above	The proposals have been received on or before the dead line of submission.	The documents are properly signed by the authorized signatories and whether the proposal contains proper POA as mentioned at para 1.8.1 above	The firms(s) have required turnover (Evaluation sheet at Annexure-I)	The firms(s) have required experience (Evaluation sheet at Annexure-I)	The Proposal is accompanied by Bid Security of required value	The proposal is accompanied by Document fee	Details of documents to be submitted online
	Ύes	Yes	Yes (scan copy)	Yes	Yes	Yes Received	Yes Received	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. – RITES Ltd. (JV)
no upinous trustables	Ύes	Yes	Yes (Scan Copy)	Yes	Yes	Yes Received	Yes Received	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with Anandjiwala Technical consultancy and in association with Anandjiwala Infra Advisory and Devico AS.
	Ύes	Yes	Yes (Scan Copy)	Yes	Yes	Yes Received	Yes Received	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.
	Ύes	Yes	Yes (Scan Copy)	Yes	Yes	Yes Received	Yes Received	Name of Bidders 4 M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. –SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.
No UDIN	Ύes	Yes	Yes (Scan Copy)	Yes	Yes	Yes Received	Yes Received	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engineering Consortium Pvt. Ltd.
Son Holes Pi	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes (Scan Copy)	Yes	Yes	Yes Received	Yes Received	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.
CORTS not available	Yes. However, it has been observed that there are more than two JV partners on INFRACON due to which the applicant has been declared non – responsive.	Yes	Yes (Scan Copy)	Yes	Yes	Yes Received	Yes Received	M/s ERKAAS and Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting-TUMAS India Pvt Ltd. (JV) in association with AVOK Engineering Consulting & Contracting Pvt. Ltd.

Page **2** of **3**

3.2 M/s ERKAAS and Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting-TUMAS India Pvt Ltd. (JV) in association with AVOK Engineering Consulting & Contracting Pvt. Ltd.

The proposal was examined and As per clause 1.4 of LOI - The consultants shall submit proposals either in sole capacity or in JV and/or in Association. Joint Venture shall not have more than two firms. It was observed from the Joint Venture agreement submitted alongwith technical proposal that the bidder has JV of two firms namely M/s Era-as and M/s Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting-TUMAS India Pvt Ltd. However, they have made Joint Venture of three firm on INFRACON namely M/s ERKAAS, M/s. TUMAS and M/s Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting-TUMAS India Pvt Ltd. in association with AVOK Engineering Consulting & Contracting Pvt. Ltd. which is against the RFP criteria of maximum 2 firms in JV and hence, declared non-responsive.

4. The Committee after due deliberation recommended that the following responsive firms are eligible for the second stage of bid evaluation:

S. No.	Name of the Applicants	Eligibility
1.	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. –RITES Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
2.	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with Anandjiwala Technical consultancy and in association with Anandjiwala Infra Advisory and Devico AS.	Responsive
3.	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.	Responsive
4.	M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. –SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5.	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engineering Consortium Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
6.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.	Responsive
7.	M/s ERKAAS and Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting-TUMAS India Pvt Ltd. (JV) in association with AVOK Engineering Consulting & Contracting Pvt. Ltd.	Non- Responsive

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

Sh. Mahesh Gupta DGM (Fin.) (Member)

> Sh. A.K. Jha DGM (Tech.) (Member)

Sh. B. Shivprasad GM (Tech.) (Member Secretary)

Sh. Sanjeev Malik Executive Director-III (Convener)

Annexure-I

	Summary
Sr. No.	Name of Bidder
1	M/s Geo Consult India Pvt. Ltd. –RITES Ltd. (JV)
2	M/s Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with Anandjiwala Technical consultancy and in association with Anandjiwala Infra Advisory and Devico AS.
3	M/s Eptisa India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.
4	M/s SMEC International Pty. Ltd. –SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.
5	M/s Subterra Engineering India Pvt. Ltd. in association with Space Engineering Consortium Pvt. Ltd.
6	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L.

M/s Geoconsult-RITES (JV)													
S. No.	Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NH/SH/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration).	Annual Average Turnover	Evaluation Result of Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years	Evaluation Result of Annual Average Turnover									
A	A Firm applying should have Experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report for Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package.	should be equal to or more than Rs 5.00 Crore.	40% DPR or 60% Feasibility Study Indicative Length = 10km Geoconsult: DPR,Page no. 166, Length of Tunnel = 111.215 km Feasibility Study, Page no. 163, Length of Tunnel = 13.215 km RITES: DPR,Page no. 193, Length of Tunnel = 12.6 km	Geoconsult: Rs 16.876 Cr RITES: Rs 1553.40 Cr									
В	A Firm applying should have carried out the Directional Coring for Geological/Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M or more from ground surface												
C	The Firm applying should have carried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so obtained for atleast one project of Road/ Rail / Metro tunnel of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the package.												
	Note: The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for a private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered.												
	(i) The sole applicant shall fulfil all the requirements given in Table-1A												
	(ii) In case of JV, the Lead Partner should fulfil at least 75% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A and the other partner shall fulfil at least 50% of eligibility requirement of turnover under Sr. No. A or 100% of eligibility requirement under Sr. No. B or C. Combinedly the JV must satisfy 100% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A.												
	(iii) If the applicant firm has / have prepared the DPR/FS projects solely on its own, 100% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm has prepared the DPR/FS projects as a lead partner in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR projects as the other partner (not lead partner) in a JV 50% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR/FS projects as an associate, 25% weightage shall be given. For AEM Survey and Direction coring work should have been carried out either as sole or in JV.												
	(Indicative Length of the Packages 10 km												

	M	/s TEC4-ATC (JV)-AIA(association)		
No.	Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NH/SH/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration).	Evaluation Result of Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years	Annual Average Turnover	Evaluation Result of Annual Average Turnover
A	A Firm applying should have Experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report for Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package.	= 10km Tec4: DPR, Sr.no. 6 , Page no. 7, Length of Tunnel = 23.2km DPR, Page no. 6, Length of Tunnel = 5.8 km	Annual average turnover for last 5 years of the firm should be equal to or more than Rs 5.00 Crore.	
В	A Firm applying should have carried out the Directional Coring for Geological/Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M or more from ground surface			
С	The Firm applying should have carried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so obtained for atleast one project of Road/ Rail / Metro tunnel of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the package.			
	Note:The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for a private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered.			
	(i) The sole applicant shall fulfil all the requirements given in Table-1A			
	(ii) In case of JV, the Lead Partner should fulfil at least 75% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A and the other partner shall fulfil at least 50% of eligibility requirement of turnover under Sr. No. A or 100% of eligibility requirement under Sr. No. B or C. Combinedly the JV must satisfy 100% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A.			
	(iii) If the applicant firm has / have prepared the DPR/FS projects solely on its own, 100% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm has prepared the DPR/FS projects as a lead partner in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR projects as the other partner (not lead partner) in a JV 50% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR/FS projects as an associate, 25% weightage shall be given. For AEM Survey and Direction coring work should have been carried out either as sole or in JV.			
	(Indicative Length of the Packages 10 km			

	M/s Eptisa India Pvt.	Ltd. in association with Eptisa	a Servicios de Ingenieria	I
. No.	Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NH/SH/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration).	Annual Average Turnover	Evaluation Result OF Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years	Evaluation Result of Annual Average Turnover
A	A Firm applying should have Experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report for Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package.	firm should be equal to or more than Rs 5.00 Crore.	40% DPR or 60% Feasibility Study Indicative Length = 10km Eptisa: DPR, Sr.no.78, Page no. 23, Length of Tunnel = 10.55 km DPR, Sr.no.51, Page no. 18, Length of Tunnel = 4.72km	Rs 823.17 Cr.
В	A Firm applying should have carried out the Directional Coring for Geological/Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M or more from ground surface			
С	The Firm applying should have carried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so obtained for atleast one project of Road/ Rail / Metro tunnel of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the package.			
	Note: The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for a private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered.			
	(i) The sole applicant shall fulfil all the requirements given in Table-1A			
	(ii) In case of JV, the Lead Partner should fulfil at least 75% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A and the other partner shall fulfil at least 50% of eligibility requirement of turnover under Sr. No. A or 100% of eligibility requirement under Sr. No. B or C. Combinedly the JV must satisfy 100% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A.			
	(iii) If the applicant firm has / have prepared the DPR/FS projects solely on its own, 100% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm has prepared the DPR/FS projects as a lead partner in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR projects as the other partner (not lead partner) in a JV 50% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR/FS projects as an associate, 25% weightage shall be given. For AEM Survey and Direction coring work should have been carried out either as sole or in JV.			
	(Indicative Length of the Packages 10 km			

141/3 31	VIEC IIICCITIACIONI SIVIEC IIIC	114 (3)	
Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NH/SH/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration).	Annual AverageTurnover	Evaluation Result of Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years	Evaluation Result of Annual AverageTurnover
preparation of Detailed Project Report for Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package.	the firm should be equal to or more than Rs	40% DPR or 60% Feasibility Study Indicative Length = 10km SMEC International: DPR, Sr.no.70, Page no. 23, Length of Tunnel = 9 km	SMEC :1606.45 Cr.
A Firm applying should have carried out the Directional Coring for Geological/Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M or more from ground surface			
The Firm applying should have carried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so obtained for atleast one project of Road' Rail / Metro tunnel of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the package. Note: The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for a private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered.			
(i) The sole applicant shall fulfil all the requirements given in Table-1A (ii) In case of JV, the Lead Partner should fulfil at least 75% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A and the other partner shall fulfil at least 50% of eligibility requirement of turnover under Sr. No. A or 100% of eligibility requirement under Sr. No. B or C. Combinedly the JV must satisfy the state of the st			
prepared the DPR FS projects solely on its own, 100% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm has prepared the DPR FS projects as a lead partner in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR projects as the other partner (not lead partner) in a JV 50% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR FS projects as an associate, 25% weightage shall be given. For AEM Survey and Direction coring work			
	Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NH/SH/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration). A Firm applying should have Experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report for Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package. A Firm applying should have carried out the Directional Coring for Geological/Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M or more from ground surface. The Firm applying should have carried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so obtained for atleast one project of Road/ Rail / Metro tunnel of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the nackage. Note:The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for a private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered (i) The sole applicant shall fulfil all the requirements given in Table-1A (ii) In case of JV, the Lead Partner should fulfil at least 75% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A on 100% of eligibility requirement under Sr. No. B or C. Combinedly the JV must satisfy 100% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm has prepared the DPR-FS projects as the other partner (not lead partner in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR-FS projects as the other partner (not lead partner) in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If	Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NH/SHI/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration). A Firm applying should have Experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report for Road Rail Metro tunnels of agregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package. A Firm applying should have carried out the Directional Coring for Geological Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M or more from ground surface The Firm applying should have carried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so obtained for atleast one project of Road/ Rail / Metro tunnel of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the nackage. Note: The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for a private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered (i) The sole applicant shall fulfil all the requirements given in Table-1A (ii) In case of JV, the Lead Partner should fulfil at least 75% of eligibility requirements of turnover under Sr. No. A or 100% of eligibility requirement of turnover under Sr. No. A or 100% of eligibility requirement tunder Sr. No. B or C. Combinedly the JV must satisfy looks weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm has prepared the DPR PS projects as a head pattern in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR	of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NHSH/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration). A Firm applying should have Experience of Annual average turnover for last 5 years of 40% DPR or 60% Feasibility Study preparation of Detailed Project Report for the firm should be equal to or more than Rs Road Rail Meror tunnels of aggregate 5.00 Crore. length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for at least one project of Road Rail Merto tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road Rail Merto tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road Rail Merto tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road Rail Merto tunnels of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road Rail Merto tunnels of minimum 40% of Geological Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological mineration for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M of more from ground surface. The Firm applying should have carried out Advisone Electromagnetic Sarvey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 500 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological mineration for a minimum for interpretative engineering geological mineration for indicative length of the indicative length of the properties of the indicative length of th

	M/s Subterr	a in association with Space En	gineer	
S. No.	Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NH/SH/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration).	Evaluation Result of Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years	Annual AverageTurnover	Evaluation Result of Annua AverageTurnover
A	A Firm applying should have Experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report for Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package.	Length = 10km Subterra DPR, Sr.no.13 of Infracon portal,	Annual average turnover for last 5 years of the firm should be equal to or more than Rs 5.00 Crore.	Rs 29.578 Cr
В	A Firm applying should have carried out the Directional Coring for Geological/Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M or more from ground surface			
С	The Firm applying should have carried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so obtained for atleast one project of Road/ Rail / Metro tunnel of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the package. Note: The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for a			
	private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered. (i) The sole applicant shall fulfil all the requirements			
	given in Table-1A (ii) In case of JV, the Lead Partner should fulfil at least 75% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A and the other partner shall fulfil at least 50% of eligibility requirement of turnover under Sr. No. A or 100% of eligibility requirement under Sr. No. B or C. Combinedly the JV must satisfy 100% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A.			
	(iii) If the applicant firm has / have prepared the DPR/FS projects solely on its own, 100% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm has prepared the DPR/FS projects as a lead partner in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR projects as the other partner (not lead partner) in a JV 50% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR/FS projects as an associate, 25% weightage shall be given. For AEM Survey and Direction coring work should have been carried out either as sole or in JV.			
	(Indicative Length of the Packages 10 km			

	M/s TP	F Getinsa Euroestudios S.L.		
S. No.	Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years (NH/SH/Equivalent) (for past performance attachundertaking for any litigation history/ and arbitration).	Evaluation Result of Minimum experience and performance of Preparation of DPR of Road Tunnels / Highways in the last 10 years	Annual AverageTurnover	Evaluation Result of Annual AverageTurnover
A	A Firm applying should have Experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report for Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for atleast one project of Road/ Rail/Metro tunnel of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro tunnels of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package.	Length = 10km Eptisa: DPR, Sr.no.75 of Infracon portal, Aggregate Length of Tunnel is more than 10 km DPR, Sr.no.132 of Infracon portal, Length of	last 5 years of the firm should be equal to or more than Rs 5.00 Crore.	
В	A Firm applying should have carried out the Directional Coring for Geological/Geotechnical investigation and has prepared record of ground conditions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length of 500M at a depth of 200 M or more from ground surface			
С	The Firm applying should have carried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive geophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully prepared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so obtained for atleast one project of Road/ Rail / Metro tunnel of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the package. Note:The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for a			
	private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered. (i) The sole applicant shall fulfil all the requirements given in Table-1A			
	(ii) In case of JV, the Lead Partner should fulfil at least 75% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A and the other partner shall fulfil at least 50% of eligibility requirement of turnover under Sr. No. A or 100% of eligibility requirement under Sr. No. B or C. Combinedly the JV must satisfy 100% of eligibility requirements under Sr. No. A.			
	(iii) If the applicant firm has / have prepared the DPR/FS projects solely on its own, 100% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm has prepared the DPR/FS projects as a lead partner in a JV, 75% weight age shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR projects as the other partner (not lead partner) in a JV 50% weightage shall be given. If the applicant firm have prepared the DPR/FS projects as an associate, 25% weightage shall be given. For AEM Survey and Direction coring work should have been carried out either as sole or in JV.			
	(Indicative Length of the Packages 10 km			

	Project Name: Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities for Construction of Highway Tunnel including approaches across Shinkun La, Tanglang La & Lachalung La in the Union Territory of Ladakh Evaluation for assessment of experience of the firms (Relevent experience of firm for assignment)													
					Evaluation for assesment of e	xperience	of the firms (Relevent experience of firm for a	ssignment))					
S. No.	Description	Maximum marks	GeoConsult India Pvt. Ltd. In JV with Ltd.	RITES	Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with ATC a Association with AIA & Devico		Eptisa India Pvt Ltd in Association wit Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.	th Eptisa	SMEC International Pty. Ltd. in J SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.	V with	Subterra Engineering India Pvt L Association with Space Engineers Co Pvt Ltd.		TPF Getinsa Eurostudios S.L.	
1.1.1 Mo 1.1.2 Mo	gregate length of DPR/Feasibility study of Road/Rail/Metro Tunnel ojects ore than the indicative length - 6 Marks ore than 1.5 times the indicatve length - 7 Marks ore than 2 times the indicative length - 8 Marks	8	Details	Marks 8	Details	Marks 8	Details Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 30: (1*7310 m) = 7310 m DPR SI no. 44: (1*630 m) = 630 m DPR SI no. 51: (1*6566 m) = 6656 m DPR SI no. 54: (0.75*3500 m) = 2625 m DPR SI no. 61: (1*6150 m) = 6150 m DPR SI no. 72: (0.75*10000 m) = 7500 m FS SI no. 78: (1*2500 m) = 2500 m FS (33371m)	8	Details	Marks 8	Details	Marks 8	Details	Marks 8
1.2.1 Pr 1.2.2 2 pr		7	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 6: (0.75*10200 m) = 7650 m DPR SI no. 8: (1*8450 m) = 8450 m DPR SI no. 10: (1*13210 m) = 13210 m DPR (3 Projects)	7	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 2 : (1*5930 m) = 5930 m DPR SI no. 5 : (1*5680 m) = 5680 m DPR SI no. 6 : (1*23000 m) = 23000 m FS (3 Projects)	6	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 30: (1*7310 m) = 7310 m DPR SI no. 51: (1*6656 m) = 6656 m DPR SI no. 61: (1*6150 m) = 6150 m DPR SI no. 72: (0.75*10000 m) = 7500 m FS (4 Projects)	7	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI no. 65: (1*8500 m) = 8500 m SI no. 70: (0.75*9000 m) = 6750 m SI no. 79: (1*6500 m) = 6500 m (3 Projects)	7	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 3 : (1*5250 m) = 5250 m DPR SI no. 6 : (1*15292 m) = 15292 m DPR SI no. 11 : (1*5650 m) = 56500 m FS SI no. 13 : (0.75*22000 m) = 16500 m DPR (4 Projects m)	7	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI no. 107: (1*12600 m) = 12600 m DPR SI no. 132: (1*8000 m) = 8000 m DPR SI no. 132: (1*10000 m) = 10000 m DPR (3 Projects)	7
2.1 Firm 2.2 Firm	m Average Turnover of last 5 years >= 50 crore - 5 m Av. Turnover of last 5 years >= 20 crore but < 50 crore - 4.5 m's Average Turnover of last 5 years < 20 crore - 4 Marks	5	Rs 16.876 Crore - Geo Consult Rs 1553.40 Crore - RITES	5	Rs 98.98 Crore	5	Rs 823.17 Crore	5	Rs 1606.45 Crore	5	Rs 29.578 Crore	4.5	Rs 280.54 Crore	5
3.1 1 Pr 3.2 2 pr	Service Services	10	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 6: (0.75*10200 m) = 7650 m DPR SI no. 8: (1*8450 m) = 8450 m DPR SI no. 10: (1*13210 m) = 13210 m DPR (3 Projects)	10	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 2 : (1*5930 m) = 5930 m DPR SI no. 3 : (1*3296 m) = 3296 m DPR SI no. 7 : (1*988 m) = 988 m DPR (2 Projects)	8	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 30: (1*7310 m) = 7310 m DPR SI no. 44: (1*630 m) = 630 m DPR (2 Projects)		Project serial nos. as on INFRACON Sl no. 70: (0.75*9000 m) = 6750 m DPR (1 Project)	6	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI No. 3 : (1*5250 m) = 5250 m DPR SI No. 6 : (1*7792 m) = 7792 m DPR SI No. 7 : (1*3000 m) = 3000 m DPR (3 Project)	10	Project serial nos. as on INFRACON SI no. 107 : (1*12600 m) = 12600 m DPR SI no. 132 : (1*8000 m) = 8000 m DPR SI no. 132 : (1*10000 m) = 10000 m DPR (3 Projects)	10
geopprejobts OR Directors of 5 4.1 1 Tu	rried out Airborne Electromagnetic Survey (AEM) (non-intrusive ophysical survey) to the depth of 300 M and have successfully epared interpretative engineering geological model using the data so tained a crectional Coring for investigating preparing record of ground additions and geological information for a minimum horizontal length 500M at a depth of 200M of more from ground surface funnel / project - 6 Marks funnels / projects - 8 Marks funnels / projects or more - 10 Marks	10	0	0	Devico's Project Sr. No. as on INFRACON SI No. 1 : L= 960 m, D= 390m SI No. 2 : L= 1650 m, D= 360m (2 Projects)	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tota	tal	40		30		35		28		26		29.5		30

Ah

* Bosed on consolilatings on esendalone F/s not ovailable on hypocon or assorates ealoned by

Page Lof L

	Project Name: Consultancy Services for Preparati	on of Detaile	d Project Rep	ort and providing Pr	e-Construct	tion activities for Con	struction of	Highway Tunnel inc	luding app	roaches across Shink	un La, Tan	glang La & Lachalung	g La in the U	Jnion Territory of La	dakh
_	Evaluation for assessment of Material Testing Survey and Investigation Equipment and Software														
S. No.	Description	Maximum marks	Sub- Points	GeoConsult India Pvt with RITES I		and in Association with AIA & Devico AS		Association with Eptisa Servi De Ingenieria S.L.		SMEC International JV with SMEC (Indi		Subterra Engineering Ltd. in Association v Engineers Consortiu	vith Space	TPF Getinsa Euro	studios S.L.
1	Availability of in-house material testing facility			Details	Marks	Details	Marks	Details	Marks	Details	Marks	Details	Marks	Details	Marks
11	Available	4				TO 1800 1904									
	Outsourced or through associate		3.25	Available	4	Available	4	Available	4	Available	4	Outsourced	3.25	Available	4
	Field Investigation Facilities	2	3.25												
2.1	(a) Geo Technical Investigation	1													
	Available	•	1	Available	1	Available	1	Outsourced	0.75	Outsourced	0.75	Outsourced	0.75	Outsourced	0.75
	Outsourced or through associate		0.75												
2.2	(b) Pavement Investigation	1													-
	Available		1	Outsourced	0.75	Available	1	Outsourced	0.75	Available	i	Outsourced	0.75	Outsourced	0.75
	Outsourced		0.75						3,70	Transit		Outsourced	0.75	Outsourced	0.75
	Availability of Office Equipment and Software for Tunnel Projects with persons/resources having operational skills of the equipment	4		Available	4	Available	4	Available	4	Available	4	Available	4	Available	4
3.1	Available		4											rianabic	1
3.2	Outsourced or through associate		3.25												
	Total	10			9.75		10		9.5		9.75		8.75		9.5

AL

My My

3 Mile

				31		Evalu	ation of Technical Proposal	- Summary	of Key Personnel						
	Project Name : C	onsultano	cy Services for Preparat	ion of Deta	iled Project Report and providir	ig Pre-Cons	struction activities for Construction	on of Highwa	y Tunnel including approach	es across Shinkun	La, Tanglang La & Lachalung	La in the Union Ter	ritory of Ladakh		
	1 2 3 4 5														
S. No.	Position	Total marks	GeoConsult India Pv JV with RITES		Tec Cuatro S.A. in JV with A Association with AIA & De	A SECURE OF THE PROPERTY OF	Eptisa India Pvt Ltd in Assoc Eptisa Servicios De Ingeni	SMEC International Pty. SMEC (India) P		Subterra Engineering In Association with Space Engi Pvt Ltd.	TPF Getinsa Eurostudios S.L.				
			Name	Marks	Name	Marks	Name	Marks	Name	Marks	Name	Marks	Name	Marks	
1	Team Leader cum Senior Tunnel Expert	12	Lalit Kumar	10.92	Francisco Javier Castanedo	11.40	Ram Bachan Shivali	10.32	10.32 Ulrich Peter Rehm 11.16 Abolghasem		Abolghasem Parpinchi	11.16	usef Eshaghpour Rahimal	11.4	
2	Tunnel Design Expert	10	Florian Krenn	8.9	Diana Castanedo	7.40	Dr. Jorg Holzhuser	9.30	B R Sharma	8.7	Francisco Jose Gracia Pro	9	amed Moammeri Otoraba	a 8.6	
3	Sr. Ventilation & Fire Safety Expert	8	Bernhard happerger	6.96	Francisco Antonio AVazques Buceta	7.60	Alexander Rudolf	7.36	Rovert W Dunlop	7.44	Bhanoo P. Verma	7.6	Sunit Kanti Dhar	7.2	
4	Senior Geotechnical Expert	10	Ravindra Dutta	8	Fleix Maria Lasheras Albert	9.00	Sindu Kumar Bagati	9.00	Aurelio Arespacochaga Arangena	8	Majid Sarrami	9	oan Santamaria Casanova	8.9	
5	Directional Coring Expert	10	Subrata Kumar	9.2	Chris Wai Kay Tai	9.50	Arindom Ganguly	9.45	Eirik Borg	9	Sheikh Abdul Ahad	n Alejandro Monardez A	9.5		
	Total	50		43.98		44.90		45.43		44.3		43.26		45.6	

Ah

while

May

3/1/4

							Team	Leader cu	m Senior T	unnel Exp	ert									
Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder → Name of the KEY PERSONAL		GeoConsu	RITES Lt		and in A	ro S.A. in J\ ssociation v Devico AS	/ with ATC vith AIA &	Eptis Associatio De	sa India Pvt on with Eptis Ingenieria	Ltd in sa Servicios S.L.	with SI	MEC (India)		in Ass Enginee	sociation wi	um Pvt Ltd.	TPF Get	insa Eurost	
31.110.	Name of the KET PERSONAL	. →	-	Lalit Kum	ar I	Francis	co Javier Ca	stanedo	Ran	n Bachan SI	hivali I	UI	rich Peter R	ehm	Abo	lghasem Pa	rpinchi	Yousef Es	haghpour F	Rahimabadi
	Description	Max. Points	obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference
i)	General Qualification Degree in Civil Engineering/Tunnel	25	20	20		25	25		20	25		25	0		25	25		25	25	
	Engineering / Mining Engineering Post Graduation in Civil Engineering / Tunnel	20	20	20		20	20		20	20		20			20	20		20	20	
	Engineering/Mining Engineering Relevant Experience & Adequacy for the	5	0	0		5	5		0	5		5			5	5		5	5	
	Project Total Professional Experience	70	66	67		70	70		66	70		68	0		68	69		70	70	
	<20 years 0	10																		
	20-25 years 8 >25-28 years 9		9	9	27 years, 10 months	10	10	>44 years	10	10	>32 yrs	8		21 years, 9 months	9	9	>27 yrs	10	10	>29 yrs
	>28 years 10 Experience in Tunnel Projects	40	20	20		- 10	10													
(2)	Experience in Funnel Flojects	40	39	39		40	40		36	40		40	0		40	40		40	40	
	(i) Professional experience in handling major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro) <12 years 0 >=12 - 14 years 6 >14 - 15 years 7 >15 years 8	8	8	8	>15 years,	8	8	>44 years	6	8	13 years, 10 months	8		21 years, 9 months	8	8	27 years	8	8	> 15 years
	(ii) Experience in major* tunnel construction/construction supervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro) <10 years 0 >=10 - 12 years 7	8																		
			8	8	>15 years	8	8	>12 years	7	8	10 yrs, 1 month	8		>12 years	8	8	>15 yrs	8	8	>12 yrs
	>12 years 8																			
	(iii)Experience in preparation of DPR or Feasibility report of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro)	8			>12 years						10 yrs,									
*	<10 years 0 >=10 - 12 years 7 >12 years 8		7	7	> 12 years	8	8	>44 years	7	8	1 month	8		>12 years	8	8	>12 yrs	8	8	>12 yrs
1	(iv) Experience in DPR preparation of minimum 5 km Tunnel length	8						S.NO. 2,												
.3	< 3 projects 0 3 or more projects 8		8		Sr.no. 7 (12km), 8 (33km), 10	8		S.NO. 2, S.No 3, S.No. 6, S.No. 7, S.No. 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21, 23	8	8	Sr.no. 14 (6.5km), 32 (8.07km), 33 (10km)	8		Sr.no. 26 (6.5km), 44 (20km), 51 (20km)	8	8	Sr.no. 1 (14km), 7(9km),9 (15.6km)	8	8	Sr.no. 4 (9.34km), 8(6.37km),1 2 (7.35km)
c E p	v) Experience in construction / construction supervision/ preparation of DPR/feasibility report of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/metro) using NATM 10 years 0 =10 - 12 years 7 12 years 8	8	8	8	>12 years	8	8	>12 years	8	8	>12 years	8		>12 years	8	8	>12 years	8	8	>12 years

Athe

Told

-App

Shr. Pa

Page 2 0 10

c)	Experience in Similar Capacity	20	18	19		20	20		20	20		20	0		19	20		20	20	
	(i) Experience as Team Leader or simicapacity in major tuniconstruction/construction supervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro)	iel	8	9	Sr.no. 9,13	10	10	S.No. 1 to S.No. 6, S.No. 7, S.No. 8,	10	10	Sr.no. 15,16,22,29,	10		Sr.no. 11,12,15,19,	9	10	Sr.no. 5,6,7,9	10	10	Sr.no.11,13,
	=2 projects 8 = 3 to 5 projects 9	+	-	1	51.110. 7,13	10	10	S.No. 10 to S.No. 13,			47			20,24						15,17,19
	> 5 or more 10							S.No. 17 to S.No. 25												
	(ii) Experience as Team Leader or simil capacity in preparation of DPR or Feasibility report of major tunnel proje (Road/Rail/Metro)	10	10	10	Sr.no.7,8,10,15,	10	10	S.No. 1 to S.No. 25	10	10	Sr.no. 14,23,28,29,	10		Sr.no. 13,16,27,32,4	10	10	Sr.no. 3,4,8,10,11	10	10	Sr.no. 10,12,14,16,
	=2 projects 8				10,17			5.110. 25			30			8,54			5,1,5,1-5,1-1			18
	= 3 to 5 projects 9		1																	
	> 5 or more 10															0		-	0	
III	Employment with Firm Less than 1 Year 0	5	5	5	-	0	0	-	0	0	. 1	0	0	ł	0	0	- 1	0	0	
	1-2 years 3		-								1 1									
	1-2 years		-															_		
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum of 2 marks		5	5		0	0		0	0		0			0	0		0	0	
	Total	100	91	92		95	95		86	95		93	0		93	94		95	95	
		12	10.92			11.4			10.32			11.16			11.16			11.4		
Age on	bid due date: Not more than 70 years.				DOB- 25/5/1952 (67 years)			DOB- 8/5/1979 (41 years)			DOB- 04/08/1961 (58 years)			DOB- 20/12/1964 (55 years)			DOB- 21/03/1963 (57 years)			DOB- 04/08/1959 (60 years)

* Major Tunnel Project = having length more than 1.5 km. Similar Capacity for Team Leader cum Senior Tunnel Expert

i) On behalf of the Consultant/Contractor:

ii) In Government Organizations:

Se

molarly

Phyl

347

	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR							Tunn	el Design I	Expert										
	T		00	ult badia Dat	LAIL In IV	Tec Cuati	ro S.A. in JV		-	sa India Pvt	Ltd in	CMEC Int.	ernational P	4 al 11/	Subterra	Engineering	g India Pvt			
	Name of the Bidder →			ult India Pvt vith RITES L		and in As	ssociation v				sa Servicios		ernational Pi MEC (India)			sociation w	50	TPF Getin	nsa Eurostu	ıdios S.L.
							Devico AS	TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER.		Ingenieria		With o	, ,			s Consortiu				
Sr. No.	Name of the KEY PERSONAL	\rightarrow		Florian Kren	n	Di	ana Castane	edo	Dr.	Jorg Holzh	user		B R Sharm	a	Francis	co Jose Gra	acia Pro	Hamed I	Moammeri C	Otorabad
	Description	Max. Points	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference
I	General Qualification	25	25	25		20	25		25	25		20	0		20	20		25	25	
i)	Degree in Civil/ Mining Engineering	20	20	20		20	20		20	20		20			20	20		20	20	
	Post Graduation in Design/Structural Engineering or equivalent	5	5	5		0	5		5	5		0			0	0		5	5	
	Relevant Experience & Adequacy for the Project	70	59	55		54	70		68	68		67	0		70	70		61	61	
(a)	Total Professional Experience	10																		
	15-20 years 8		8	8	16 years, 8	0	10	14 yrs	10	10	>28 years	10		>40 years	10	10	25 years	9	9	21 years
	>20 -25 years 9		10.00		months				350.35			851838		•	1303000	657.08				3000 \$ 300000
	>25years 10									STEEN NOW AND ASSESSMENT OF THE SECOND										
(b)	Experience in Tunnel Projects	30	26	20		24	30		28	28		30	0		30	30		24	24	
	(i) Professional experience in handling major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro)	10			16 years, 8															
	<10 years 0		10	10	months	8	10	14 yrs	10	10	27 years	10		>14 years	10	10	18 years	10	10	>14 years
	>=10-12 years 6																			
	>12 -14 years 8 >14 years 10																			
	(ii) Experience in major* tunnel																	_		
	construction/construction supervision	10																		
	projects (Road/Rail/Metro)																			
	<10 years 0				15 years,11															
	>=10-12 years 6		10	10	months	8	10	14 yrs	10	10	>14 yrs	10		>14 years	10	10	>14 yrs	8	8	13 yrs
	>12 -14 years 8																			
1	>14 years 10																			
	(iii)Experience in preparation of DPR																			
	or Feasibility report of major tunnel	10																		
	projects (Road/Rail/Metro)				>14 yrs						13 yrs, 4									
	<10 years 0 >=10-12 years 6		6	0	7	8	10	14 yrs	8	8	months	10		>14 years	10	10	>14 yrs	6	6	11 yrs
101111000000000000000000000000000000000	>10-12 years 0 >12 -14 years 8																			
	>14 years 10																			
	Experience in Similar Capacity	30	25	27		30	30		30	30		27	0		30	30		28	28	
	(i) Professional Experience as Tunnel	8	23	211		30	30		30	30		21	v		- 50	- 50				
	Design Engineer (Structural)	0																		
	<8 years 0		7	8	>10 years	8	8	>12 yrs	8	8	>12years	7		11 years	8	8	>12years	8	8	>12years
	>=8-10 years 6																(A)			
	>10 – 12 years 7 >12 years 8																			
	(ii) Experience as Tunnel Design Engineer																			
	(Structural) of major tunnel construction/construction supervision	8																		
	projects (Road/Rail/Metro)		-educo	ten	- 1000			0 900	1001	Ve Ve	2 2 2				_	120		104	77946	100 1202
	<8 years 0		7	8	>10 years	8	8	>12 yrs	8	8	> 14 yrs	7		11years	8	8	> 12 yrs	8	8	> 12 yrs
	>=8 – 10 years 6																			
	>10-12 years 7																			
	>12 years 8																			

Ayh

which My

BUT

33 Per-200	(iii) Experience as Tun (Structural) of m preparation of l (Road/Rail	ajor tunnel for DPR projects l/Metro)	7	. 5	5		7	7	>12 yrs	7	7	13 yrs, 4 months	7		>13 yrs	7	7	>12 yrs	6	6	11 yrs
	<8 years >=8 – 10 years	5		-								monuis									
	>10-12 years	6		1											1						
	>12 years	7		1																	
	(iv)Experience as Tunn (Structural) in prepara Feasibility report of ma (Road/Rail/Metro) usin	ation of DPR/ ajor tunnel projects	7	6	6	Sr.no 12,14,15	7	7	S.No. 3, 6, 10, 11, 12,	7	7	Sr.no 4,5,8,10,11	6		Sr.no 26,30,31,40	7	7	Sr.no 2,5,11,17,18	6	6	Sr.no 5,12,13,14
	< 3 projects	0				12,11,13			13, 15, 17			1,0,0,10,11						,19			
	>=3-5 projects	6																			
	5 or more projects	7	Jan State Control														0		0	0	
III	Employment with Firm Less than 1 Year	n 1 0	5	5	5	-	0	0	4	0	0	-	0	0	1	0	0	1 1	0	U	1
	1-2 years	3		-																	
	1 2 years			1						500			97-25								
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum of 2 marks	5		5	5		0	0		0	0		0			0	0		0	0	
	Tota	al	100	89	85		74	95		93	93		87	0		90	- 90		86	86	
			10	8.9			7.4			9.3			8.7	0		9			8.6		
						DOB-			DOB- 8/5/1979			DOB-			DOB-			DOB-			DOB- 07/06/197

^{*} Major Tunnel Project = having length more than 1.5 km. Similar Capacity for Team Leader cum Senior Tunnel Expert

Agh

Mhy

3/1/2

i) On behalf of the Consultant/Contractor:

ii) In Government Organizations:

								Sr. V	entilation	& Fire Safety	Expert										
	Nan	me of the Bidder →			ult India Pvt. ith RITES Ltd		Tec Cuatro S.A Association w	. in JV with A	TC and in	Eptisa Ind	ia Pvt Ltd in A Servicios De S.L.			rnational Pt IEC (India)	y. Ltd. in JV Pvt. Ltd.	in Asso	ngineering In ociation with s Consortiun	Space	TPF Ge	insa Eurostu	udios S.L.
	Name	of the KEY PERSONAL →		Berr	nhard happe	rger	Francisco Anto	onio AVazque	s Buceta	A	lexander Rud	lolf	Ro	vert W Dun	lop	Bh	anoo P. Verr	ma	S	unit Kanti Dh	nar
Sr.				Max.	Max.		Max. Points	Max.		Max.	Max.		Max.	Max.		Max.	Max.		Max.	Max.	
No.	Des	scription	Max. Points	Points obtained	Points Claimed	Referen ce	obtained	Points Claimed	Referen ce	Points obtained	Points Claimed	Reference	Points obtained	Points Claimed	Reference	Points obtained	Points Claimed	Referenc e	Points obtained	Points Claimed	Reference
I	General Qualification	on	25	25	25		20	25		25	25		20	0		25	25		20	20	
i)	Graduate in Electrica Engineering or equiv	al/Mechanical/Ventilation	20	20	20		20	20		20	20		20			20	20		20	20	
ii)	Post Graduation in V equivalent	entilation/ Fire Safety or	5	5	5		0	5		5	5		0			5	5		0	0	
II	Project	e & Adequacy for the	70	62	61		70	70		67	67		68	0		70	70		70	70	
a)	Total Professional E <15 years	Experience 0	20																		
	15-20 years	15		17	17	20 yrs, 8	20	20	>29 yrs	17	17	21 yrs	20		29 yrs, 10	20	20	>38 yrs	20	20	>32 yrs
	>20 -25years	17				months	15.0					,			months						
	>25years	20																		- 2007	
b)	Experience in Relev	ant Works	50	45	44		50	50		50	50		48	0		50	50		50	50	
	(i) Professional ex &/or Fire and Life S	xperience in Ventilation Safety	12																		.14
	<10 years	0		12	12	>14 YEARS	12	12	>14 yrs	12	12	19 YEARS	12		>14 YEARS	12	12	>14 YEARS	12	12	>14 YEARS
	>=10-12 years >12 -14 years	9				1 L/ IKS									Line			1 2			
	>12 -14 years >14 years	11 12																			
	(ii) Experience in De tunnelling projects o preparation of DPR		12			>8															Sr.
	<2 Projects	0		12	12	projects	12	12	>4	12	12	Sr. no. 4,5,6,7	12		Sr. no. 1,4,6,12	12	12	Sr. no. 9,13,23,25	12	12	no.23,24,27
	2 Projects	9							projects			4,5,0,7			1,4,0,12			9,13,23,23			,28
	3 Projects 4 Projects	11 12																			
	(iii) Experience in Fi	ire & Life Safety Design cts on major Tunnels in	12																		
	<2 Projects	0		12	8	>8	12	12	S.No. 1, 4, 5, 6, 7,	12	12	Sr. no.	12		Sr. no.	12	12	Sr. no.	12	12	Sr. no.23,24,27
	2 Projects	9				projects			9, 10			4,11,12,13			12,33,34,36			9,13,23,25			,28
	3 Projects 4or moreProjects	11 12																			
	(iv)Experience in Fin for tunnelling projec 200 MW or more on	re & Life Safety Design cts having design HRR of major Tunnel	14	9	12	Sr no 5	14	14	S.No. 6, 7, 9, 10	14	14	Sr. no. 4,8,10	12		Sr. no.	14	14	Sr. no. 1,5,7,18	14	14	Sr. no. 24,27,28
	<1 Projects 1 projects 2 projects 3 or moreProjects	0 9 12 14				Sr. no. 5			7, 9, 10			4,8,10			34,36			1,3,7,10			27,21,20

Ayh

mount

Rhy

SHY

Page 6 of 10

Ш	Employment with F	irm	5																	
	Less than 1 Year	0		1																1 1
	1-2 years	3		1																1 1
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum of 2 marks	5		0	0		5	5		0	0		5		0	0		0	0	
	,	Total	100	87	86		95	100		92	92		93		95	95		90	90	
			8	6.96			7.6			7.36			7.44		7.6			7.2		
		ate: Not more than 70 /ears.				DOB- 29/6/1979 (41 years)			DOB- 29/6/197 9 (41 years)			DOB- 14/03/1968 (52 years)		DOB- 29/6/1979 (41 years)			DOB- 06/04/195 5 (65 years)			DOB- 13/03/1964 (56 years)

^{*} Major Tunnel Project = having length more than 1.5 km.
Similar Capacity for SENIOR VENTILATION & FIRE SAFETY EXPERT

i) On behalf of the Consultant/Contractor: Senior Ventilation & Fire Safety Expert

ii) In Government Organizations: -Nil

Agh

men

MM

The

							Senior G	eotechnica	l Expert						-					
	Name of the Bidder →		GeoConsi	ult India Pvt. I RITES Ltd	Ltd. In JV with	Tec Cuatro	S.A. in JV	with ATC and in & Devico AS	Eptisa In		n Association De Ingenieria		ernational P MEC (India)	ty. Ltd. in JV) Pvt. Ltd.	Associat		ndia Pvt Ltd. in ce Engineers vt Ltd.	TPF G	etinsa Euro	studios S.L.
	Name of the KEY PERSONAL →			Ravindra Du	ıtta	Fleix N	Maria Lash	eras Albert	Sin	ndu Kumar	Bagati	Aurelio Ar	espacocha	ga Arangena	-	Majid Sarr		Joan S	Santamaria	Casanovas
S.No.	Description	Max Marks	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtaine d	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtaine d	Max. Points Claimed		Max. Points obtaine d	Max. Points Claimed		Max. Points obtaine d	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtaine d	Max. Points Claimed	Reference
	General Qualification	25	25	25		20	25		20	20		25	0		20	20		25	25	
	Degree in Civil Engineering/Mining Engineering / Engineering Geology	20	20	20		20	20		20	20		20			20	20		20	20	
	Post Graduation in Geotechnical Engineering /Foundation Engineering/Rock Mechanics/Geo science	5	5	5		0	5		0	0		5			0	0		5	5	
	Relevant Experience and Adequacy for the Project	70	50	52		70	70		70	70		50	0		70	70		64	64	
<u>a)</u>	Total Profesional Experience	10	10	10		10	10		10	10		10			10	10		10	10	
	<15 Years - 0 marks 15-20 Years - 08 Marks >20-25 Years - 09 Marks >25 Years - 10 Marks				>25 years			>38 years			26 years			>31 years			25 years			25 years
b)	Experience in Tunnel Projects	30	26	20		30	30		30	30		20	0		30	30		26	26	
35	(i) Professional experience in handling major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro)	10	10	10		10	10		10	10		10			10	10		10	10	
	<10 Years - 0 marks >=10-12 Years - 06 Marks >12-14 Years - 08 Marks >14 Years - 10 Marks				>25 years			>14 years			>14 years			>14 years			25 years			13 yrs
	(ii) Experience in major tunnel construction/construction supervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro)	10	10	10		10	10		10	10		10			10	10		8	8	
	<10 Years - 0 marks >=10-12 Years - 06 Marks >12-14 Years - 08 Marks >14 Years - 10 Mark				17 yr, 3 month			> 14 yrs			> 14 yrs			> 14 yrs			> 14 yrs			13 yrs
	(iii) Experience in preparation of DPR or Feasibility report of major tunnel projects	10	6	0		10	10		10	10		0	0		10	10		8	8	
	<10 Years - 0 marks >=10-12 Years - 06 Marks >12-14 Years - 08 Marks >14 Years - 10 Mark				> 11 yr, 5 month			>14 years			> 14 yrs			6 yrs, 3 months			> 14 yrs			>12 yrs
c)	Experience in Similar Capacity	30	14	22		30	30		30	30		20	0		30	30		28	28	
	(i) Experience as Senior Geotechnical Engineer or similar capacity in major tunnel construction/construction supervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro)	10	6	10		10	10		10	10		10			10	10		10	10	
	<8 Years - 0 marks >=08-10 Years - 06 Marks >10-12 Years - 08 Marks >12 Years - 10 Mark				> 9 yr, 9 month			>12 years			>12 yrs			13 yrs, 6 months			>12 yrs	,		>12 yrs
	(ii) Experience as Senior Geotechnical Engineer or similar capacity in preparation of DPR or Feasibility report of major tunnel projects	10	0	6		10	10		10	10		0			10	10	01	8	8	

Page 8 of 10

	Age on bid due date: Not more than 70 years.				DOB- 30/03/1961 59 Years			DOB- 05/12/1958 61Years			DOB- 25/12/1960 59 Years			DOB- 25/12/1960 59 Years			DOB- 11/05/1972 48 Years			DOB- 18/01/1960 60 Years
		10	8			9			9			8			9			8.9		
	TOTAL	100	80	82		90	95		90	90		80	0		90	90		89	89	
III	Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 1-2 year -3 Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks- 5	5	5	5		0	0		0	0		5			0	0		0	0	
	<8 Years - 0 marks >=08-10 Years - 08 Marks >10-12 Years - 10 Marks																			
	(iii) Experience in construction/construction supervision/ preparation of DPR/feasibility report of major tunnel projects (Road/Rail/metro) using NATM	10	8	6	9 yr, 9 month	10	10	>12 years	10	10	>12 yrs	10		>14 yrs	10	10	>12 yrs	10	10	>12 yrs
	<8 Years - 0 marks >=08-10 Years - 06 Marks >10-12 Years - 08 Marks >12 Years - 10 Mark							>12 years			>12 yrs			6 yrs, 3 months			>12 yrs			13 yrs

^{*} Major Tunnel Project = having length more than 1.5 km. Similar Capacity for senior Geotechnical Expert

i) On behalf of the Consultant/Contractor: ii) In Government Organizations: -Nil

								Directiona	Coring Ex	pert										
	Name of the Bidder $ ightarrow$		GeoConsult I	ndia Pvt. Ltd. RITES Ltd.	In JV with	Tec Cuatro Associat	S.A. in JV v tion with AIA	with ATC and in & Devico AS			Association with Ingenieria S.L.		ernational Pty IEC (India) F	. Ltd. in JV with Pvt. Ltd.	in Associati	ngineering In ion with Spac nsortium Pvt	ce Engineers	TPF Get	insa Eurosti	udios S.L.
S.No.	Name of the KEY PERSONAL	\rightarrow	Su	brata Kumar		(Chris Wai Ka	ny Tai		Arindom Ga	nguly		Eirik Bor	g	She	eikh Abdul A	Ahad	hristian Ale	andro Mona	ırdez Arancib
	Description	Max Mark	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Referenc e	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Referenc e	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Reference
I	General Qualification	25	25	25		20	20		25	25		20	0		20	20		25	25	
	Graduate in Engineering / science/drilling or equivalent	20	20.	20		20	20		20	20		20			20	20		20	20	
	Post Graduation in drilling or equivalent	5	5	5		0	0	×	5	5		0			0	0		5	5	
II	Relevant Experience and Adequacy for the Project Total Profesional Experience	70	62	62		70	70		66	70		70	0		40	70		70	70	
(a)	<10 Years - 0 marks	20	20	20		20	20		20	20		20			20	20		20	20	
	10-12 Years - 10 Marks >12-15 Years - 15 Marks >15 Years - 20 Marks				>34 years			>23 years			24 years			>30 years			>22 years			22 years
b)	Experience in Relevant Works	50	42	42		50	50		46	50		50	0		20	50		50	50	
	(i) Professional experience in handling tunnel/mineral and oil exploration projects	12	12	12		12	12		12	12		12			12	12		12	12	
	<05 Years - 0 marks >=05-10 Years - 09 Marks >10-12 Years - 11 Marks >12 Years - 12 Marks				>21 years			>12 years			18 years			>12 years			>15 years			18 years
	(ii) Experience in directional coring for tunneling projects	12	12	12		12	12		12	12		12			0	12		12	12	
	<1 project - 0 marks 1 Project - 08 Marks 2 or more projects- 12 Marks				6 projects			Sr. No 2, Sr. No 4, Sr. No 5 to Sr. No 23			Sr. no.12,15,16,			Sr. no.24,27,28						Sr. no.8,10
	(iii) Experience directional coring for tunnelling projects at an elevation above 1000 m	12	8	8		12	12		12	12		12			8	12		12	12	Sr. no. 7,8
	<1 project - 0 marks 1 Project - 08 Marks 2 or more projects- 12 Marks				l project, Katra- Quazikun			Sr. No 15, Sr. No 18 and Sr. No			Sr. no. 12,15,17			1 project, Sr. no. 7,12			1 project			
	(iv) Experience of directional coring/geotechnical investigation in more than one country	14	10	10		14	14		10	14		14			0	14		14	14	
	< 2 country - 0 marks 2 country - 10 Marks 3 country or more- 14 Marks				Myanmar & UAE			Australia, Japan ,Chile		£ .	Uzbekistan, Nepal			Japan, Spain, China						Argentina, Brazil, Chile
	Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 marks 1-2 year -3 marks Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks- 5 marks	5	5	5		5	5		3.5	3.5		0			5	5		0	0	
	TOTAL	100	92	92		95	95		94.5	98.5	1 10	90			65	95		95	95	
		10	9.2			9.5			9.45			9			6.5			9.5		
Age	e on bid due date: Not more than 70 yea	rs.			DOB- 24/10/19 68 51 Years			DOB- 24/10/1968 51 Years			DOB- 24/10/1968 51 Years			DOB- 24/10/1968 51 Years			DOB- 13/9/1973 46 Years	. 1		DOB- 19/10/197 2 47 Years

Sk

M Page to of 10

Evaluation of Technical Proposals

Project Name: Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities for Construction of Highway Tunnel including approaches across Shinkun La,

Tanglang La & Lachalung La in the Union Territory of Ladakh

Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals

S. No.	Description	Maximum Marks	GeoConsult India Pvt. Ltd. In JV with RITES Ltd.	with ATC and in	Eptisa India Pvt Ltd in Association with Eptisa Servicios De Ingenieria S.L.	SMEC International Pty. Ltd. in JV with SMEC (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Subterra Engineering India Pvt Ltd. in Association with Space Engineers Consortium Pvt Ltd.	TPF Getinsa
1	Relevant experience for assignments	40	30	35	28	26	29.5	30
2	Material Testing, Survey and Investigation, Equipment and Software Proposed	10	9.75	10	9.5	9.75	8.75	9.5
3	Qualifications and relevant experience of the proposed key personnel	50	43.98	44.90	45.43	44.30	43.26	45.60
	Total marks	100	83.73	89.90	82.93	80.05	81.51	85.10
	Remarks		Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Eligible for opening of financial proposal

Sh

man

3 Mile